1 2	PHILLIP C. SAMOURIS, ESQ. (Bar No. 163303) samouris@higgslaw.com MICHAEL J. HOISINGTON, ESQ. (Bar No. 201679)		
3	mhoisington@higgslaw.com HIGGS FLETCHER & MACK LLP		
4	401 West "A" Street. Suite 2600		
5	San Diego, CA 92101-7913 TEL: 619.236.1551 FAX: 619.696.1410		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiff CannaVest Corporation		
7	LIMITED OF THE DIGHDICK COVER		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10	CannaVest Corporation, a Nevada corporation,	CASE NO. 14-cv-02160-CAB-BLM	
11	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTION TO EVIDENCE SUBMITTED BY	
12	V.	DEFENDANTS IN CONNECTION WITH THE AMOUNT OF THE	
13	Kannaway, LLC, a California limited	PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION	
14	liability company; et. al,	BOND [DOC. NO. 24]	
15	Defendant.	H D / E 1 10 0015	
16	·	Hearing Date: February 12, 2015 Dept: 4C	
17		Judge: Hon. Cathy A. Bencivengo	
18	Plaintiff Conneyoot Componetion ("PlaintiCO") handon aliant (1 C 1)		
19	Plaintiff Cannavest Corporation ("Plaintiff") hereby objects to the following		
20	evidence offered by defendants in connection with the amount of the bond, if any,		
21	that the Court will require on the preliminary injunction sought by Plaintiff:		
22	DECLARATION OF MICHELLE SIDES		
23	(Doc. No. 24)		
24	EVIDENCE	OBJECTION	
25	¶ 1 "I am the principal of Kannaway,	Lacks foundation and is conclusory.	
26	LLC, Defendant in the above entitled	[FRE 602.] Limited liability companies	
27	action."	have "members" and "managers". See	
28		Cal. Corp. Code § 17704.07 (a)-(c).	
11			

HIGGS FLETCHER & MACK LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN DIEGO

3210168.1

Case No. 14-cv-02160-CAB-BLM

Declarant does not describe her position as a "principal" or her role within the LLC, and therefore her testimony has no foundation.

¶¶ 5-7 and Exhibits A and B—the information supposedly from "Houlihan Capital".

Hearsay, lacks foundation, improper opinion testimony, speculation, assumes facts not in evidence, and does not fulfill the requirements of a proper declaration because the declarant does not have personal knowledge. [FRE 602 and 802.] Declarant admits that she did not draft the documents attached as Exhibits A and B which are characterized as a "Fairness Opinion". Importantly, the documents state clearly that no independent verification of any facts was performed by the preparer and that the preparer relied on information supplied by others in forming its opinion. Moreover, the due diligence section of the presentation does not reference Plaintiff's trademark infringement claim which is the subject of the present case. Thus, the documents are unreliable.

¶8, Exhibit C	Lacks foundation, improper opinion
	testimony, speculation, assumes facts
	not in evidence, and does not fulfill the
	requirements of a proper declaration
	because it is conclusory and lacks
	specifics. [FRE 602 and 802.]
	Declarant's testimony does not match
	up with the numbers provided in
	Exhibit C. Exhibit C is not properly
	formatted and is unintelligible. There
	are large numbers associated with some
	products that could be sales, but no
	indication on how many units sold, the
	per-unit price or the source of the
	numbers. Neither the Declaration nor
	the exhibit provides any data regarding
	how the Defendant arrived at an alleged
	profit of \$117,000 per month on the
	accused products, or how many of what
	type of products it has on hand adding
	up to \$2,250,000.
\P 9 and Exhibit D.	Lacks foundation, improper opinion
	testimony, speculation, assumes facts
	not in evidence, and does not fulfill the
	requirements of a proper declaration
	because it is conclusory and lacks
	specifics. [FRE 602 and 802.] The

HIGGS FLETCHER & MACK LLP ATTORNEYS AT LAW SAN DIEGO

3210168.1

Case B:14-cv-02160-CAB-BLM Document 30-3 Filed 02/24/15 PageID.658 Page 4 of 4

3210168.1